

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,190	OH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Omar Rojas	2874	

All Participants:

(1) Omar Rojas.

(2) Steve S. Cha.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A.

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed an amendment to claim 1 to better distinguish the claims from the prior art of record. Mr. Cha agreed to the Examiner's proposal and the agreed to changes have been incorporated into an attached Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner) John D. Lee
 Primary Examiner

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)